Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Andrea Johnston,<sup>a</sup> Alastair J. Florence<sup>a</sup>\* and Alan R. Kennedy<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, 27 Taylor Street, Glasgow G4 0NR, Scotland, and <sup>b</sup>WestCHEM, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G1 1XL, Scotland

Correspondence e-mail: alastair.florence@strath.ac.uk

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 123 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.031 wR factor = 0.078 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Hydrochlorothiazide forms a 1:1 solvate with 1,4-dioxane,  $C_7H_8CIN_3O_4S_2\cdot C_4H_8O_2$  [systematic name: 6-chloro-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-1,2,4-benzothiadiazine-7-sulfonamide 1,1-dioxide-1,4-dioxane (1/1)]. The asymmetric unit comprises one molecule of hydrochlorothiazide and halves of two solvent molecules arranged around inversion centres. The structure contains a hydrogen-bonding network comprising three N-H···O and one N-H···N hydrogen bonds.

Hydrochlorothiazide-1,4-dioxane (1/1)

Received 5 July 2005 Accepted 13 July 2005 Online 16 July 2005

## Comment

Hydrochlorothiazide (HCT) is a thiazide diuretic which is known to crystallize in at least one non-solvated form (Dupont & Dideberg, 1972). The title compound, (I), was produced during an automated parallel crystallization polymorph screen on HCT. The sample was identified as a novel form using multi-sample X-ray powder diffraction analysis of all recrystallized samples (Florence *et al.*, 2003). Subsequent manual recrystallization from a saturated 1:1 acetone/dioxane solution, by slow evaporation at 298 K, yielded samples of the HCT 1,4-dioxane solvate suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis (Fig. 1).



In (I), the six-membered S1/N1/C1/N2/C2/C7 ring in HCT displays a half-chair conformation, atoms C1 and N1 having deviations of -0.134 (2) and 0.554 (2) Å, respectively, from the least-squares plane through atoms C2–C7. The sulfon-amide side chain adopts an N3–S2–C5–C4 torsion angle of 57.55 (18)°, such that atom O3 eclipses atom H6, and atoms O4 and N3 are staggered with respect to atom Cl1. In the non-solvated structure, this group is rotated by approximately 120° compared with that in (I), such that the amine group lies on the opposite side of the benzothiadiazine ring system. Both centrosymmetric solvent molecules adopt chair conformations, with puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) for rings A and B of Q = 0.564 (2) and 0.566 (2) Å,  $\theta = 2.11$  (1) and 0.00° and  $\varphi = 0$  and 0°, respectively.

The crystal structure is stabilized by a network of hydrogen bonds interconnecting (a) HCT molecules (Fig. 2, contacts 1 and 2), (b) HCT and solvent molecule A (contact 3), and (c) HCT and solvent molecule B (contact 4). Contact 1 forms an

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography



## Figure 1

The asymmetric unit contents, expanded to complete the solvent molecules, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Dashed lines indicate hydrogenbond contacts. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (ii) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z].



### Figure 2

Intermolecular interactions in (I). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds and unique contacts are labelled as follows: (1)  $N3 \cdots N1(-1 + x, 1 + y, z)$ = 3.097 (3) Å; (2) N2···O2(-1 + x, y, z) = 3.032 (2) Å; (3) N3···O5 = 2.879 (2) Å; (4) N1···O6 = 2.848 (2) Å; (5) C1···O2(2 - x, -y, -z) = 3.304 (2) Å; (6) C1···O4(x, -1 + y, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220 (2) Å; (7) C3···O2(-1 + x, z) = 3.220y, z) = 3.285 (2) Å; (8) C11···O3(x, -1 + y, z) = 3.412 (2) Å. Contacts calculated and illustrated using PLATON (Spek, 2003; program version 280604)

infinite chain of HCT molecules, which combine with contact 2 to form layers of HCT molecules in the ab plane. Each HCT layer is connected to parallel layers of 1,4-dioxane (via contacts 3 and 4) and HCT molecules. Hydrophobic interactions between layers of HCT include offset face-to-face (off)  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking between the ring formed by atoms C2-C7 [centroid–centroid distance = 4.192(1) Å]. Compound (I)



## Figure 3

The crystal packing in the structure of (I); view down the *a* axis, showing the alternating layers of HCT and 1,4-dioxane molecules stacked along c. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

therefore adopts a stacked structure with alternating double layers of HCT, with single layers of solvent stacked in the cdirection (Fig. 3). Three  $C-H \cdots O$  contacts also exist between HCT molecules (Fig. 2, contacts 5–7), with a fourth connecting 1,4-dioxane molecule B to atom O3 of HCT (contact 8).

## **Experimental**

A single-crystal sample of the title compound was recrystallized from a 1:1 acetone/1,4-dioxane solution by slow evaporation at 298 K.

Crystal data

$C_7H_8ClN_3O_4S_2\cdot C_4H_8O_2$	Z = 2
$M_r = 385.84$	$D_x = 1.633 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 6.6684 (2)  Å	Cell parameters from 3105
b = 9.8585 (3) Å	reflections
c = 12.9149 (4)  Å	$\theta = 1.0-27.1^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 87.046 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 78.017 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 123 (2) K
$\gamma = 70.872 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Plate, colourless
$V = 784.55 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.50$ $\times$ $0.20$ $\times$ 0.08 mm

### Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: none 12343 measured reflections 3445 independent reflections 2879 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.035$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.1^{\circ}$  $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 

 $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ 

 $l = -16 \rightarrow 15$ 

idealized geometry using a riding model with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ ; for CH<sub>2</sub> groups, C-H = 0.99 Å, whilst for CH groups, C-H = 0.95 Å.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Hooft, 1988) and *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); cell refinement: *DENZO* and *COLLECT*; data reduction: *DENZO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank the Basic Technology Programme of the UK Research Councils for funding this work under the project Control and Prediction of the Organic Solid State (http://www.cposs.org.uk).

## References

- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Dupont, L. & Dideberg, O. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 2340-2347.
- Florence, A. J., Baumgartner, B., Weston, C., Shankland, N., Kennedy, A. R., Shankland, K. & David, W. I. F. (2003). J. Pharm. Sci. 92, 1930–1938.
- Hooft, R. (1988). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.

Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.

Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0335P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$	+ 0.4818P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.078$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.03	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3445 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
224 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.43 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

**Table 1** Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

, , ,	• • • •					
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$		
N1-H1N···O6	0.82 (2)	2.04 (2)	2.848 (2)	170 (2)		
$N2-H2N\cdots O2^{i}$	0.81(2)	2.28 (3)	3.032 (2)	154 (2)		
N3-H3N···N1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.81 (2)	2.35 (2)	3.097 (3)	155 (2)		
N3-H4N···O5	0.87 (3)	2.02 (3)	2.879 (2)	170 (3)		
$C1-H1A\cdots O2$	0.99	2.60	2.980 (2)	103		
$C1 - H1A \cdots O2^{iii}$	0.99	2.55	3.304 (2)	133		
$C1-H1B\cdots O4^{iv}$	0.99	2.41	3.220 (2)	139		
$C3-H3\cdots O2^{i}$	0.95	2.56	3.285 (2)	133		
C6-H6···O3	0.95	2.38	2.800 (2)	107		
$C11 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{iv}$	0.99	2.50	3.412 (2)	153		

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) x - 1, y + 1, z; (iii) -x + 2, -y, -z; (iv) x, y - 1, z.

The amine H atoms were located in difference syntheses and were refined isotropically. All other H atoms were constrained to an

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.